



SCHOOL OF COMPUTING AND ENGINEERING SCIENCES

Master of Science in Sustainable Energy Transitions

MSET8201: Research Methodology

Date: **Tuesday 16th April 2024**

Time: **18:00-20:30 Hours**

Instructions:

1. This Examination Paper consists of **FOUR** Questions.
2. Answer Question #1 (**Compulsory**) and any other **TWO** Questions.
3. Use of Calculators is **ALLOWED** in this Examination.

Question #1 (Compulsory):

The following references are not in APA reference format or style as per Strathmore University's expectations. Organize the references in APA style stating why you think the source is Journal, Book, Newspaper article etc.

- (a) K. Lyytinen and R. Hirschheim: Information Systems Failures - A survey and classification of Empirical Literature." Oxford Surveys in Information Technology, Vol. 4, pp. 257-309, Oxford University Press, 1987. **[3 Marks]**
- (b) S. Alter and M. Ginzberg: "Managing uncertainty in MIS implementation." Sloan Management Review, Vol. 19, pp. 23-31, 1978. **[3 Marks]**
- (c) Shah, K. U. (2018). Regulatory impact assessment for implementing energy efficient lighting standards in the small island developing state of Antigua & Barbuda. Energy strategy reviews, 22, 216-229. **[2.5 Marks]**
- (d) Halim, R. A., Kirstein, L., Merk, O., & Martinez, L. M. (2018). Decarbonization pathways for international maritime transport: A model-based policy impact assessment. Sustainability, 10(7), 2243. **[2.5 Marks]**
- (e) Shah, K. U., Roy, S., Chen, W. M., Niles, K., & Surroop, D. (2020). Application of an institutional assessment and design (IAD)-enhanced integrated regional energy policy and planning (IREPP) framework to island states. Sustainability, 12(7), 2765. **[3 Marks]**
- (f) Machado, J. T. M., & de Andrés, M. (2023). Implications of offshore wind energy developments in coastal and maritime tourism and recreation areas: An analytical overview. Environmental Impact Assessment Review, 99, 106999. **[3 Marks]**
- (g) Mateo, C., Cossent, R., Gómez, T., Prettico, G., Frías, P., Fulli, G., & Postigo, F. (2018). Impact of solar PV self-consumption policies on distribution networks and regulatory implications. Solar Energy, 176, 62-72. **[3 Marks]**

Question #2:

- (a) Briefly outline the process of identification of related research ideas from existing literature. [3 Marks]
- (b) What is the purpose of concept mapping in the research process? [2 Marks]
- (c) Explain any FOUR example application areas for Concept Mapping in a research process. [4 Marks]
- (d) What is a research problem? What fundamental questions do you need to consider for a research problem? [3 Marks]
- (e) What would be the basis of choosing a certain methodology for research? Is it important to explain why we choose a methodology? Why? [3 Marks]

Question #3:

In battery testing exhibitions, many experts claim that the test for first battery sets a reference scale and that a different reference battery may alter the relative ranking of the other batteries. To confirm this claim, three batteries, P, Q and R, were set up in the experts' exhibition event. Each expert was given an opportunity to test each battery, but in a different order from other experts. At the close, each expert was asked to name the best battery of the three exhibited. One hundred and ninety experts were at the exhibition and their top picks are given in the table.

Top Pick Battery

		P	Q	R
First Battery	P	12	31	27
	Q	15	40	21
	R	10	20	14

- (a) What is the purpose of a chi-square analysis in a sample of a given population? For any given sample population, what is important to note about chi-square value obtained? [2 Marks]
- (b) What a degree of freedom? Demonstrate the computation of the degree of freedom for sample population in the given table. [3 Marks]
- (c) State the null hypothesis for population given in the table above [3 Marks]
- (d) Using a 10% level of significance, test whether there is sufficient evidence in support accept or reject the hypothesis in (c) above. [7 Marks]

Question #4:

An independent Power Company wanted to determine the differences between older and younger adults on the power availability satisfaction in an urban County and conducted a pilot study to examine this hypothesis. Five older adults (over the age of 70) and five younger adults (between 20 and 30) were given a power availability satisfaction test (known to have high reliability and stability). Scores ranged from 0 to 60 with high scores indicative of power availability satisfaction, while low scores were indicative of low power availability satisfaction. The data obtained for Older Adults was {45, 37, 52, 46 and 27} while for Younger Adults it was {32, 22, 18, 26, and 33}

- (a) What would the null hypothesis for this power availability satisfaction study? [2 Marks]
- (b) Using the data given, compute the appropriate t-test for this power availability satisfaction study [7 Marks]
- (c) Given the null hypothesis in (a) above, do you accept or reject the null hypothesis at 0.025 significance level? Demonstrate why or why not. The appendices contain a t-distribution table for your convenience. [6 Marks]

Appendices:

Chi-Square Right-Tail Probability ($\geq \chi^2$)										
DF	0.995	0.99	0.975	0.95	0.9	0.1	0.05	0.025	0.01	0.005
1	---	---	0.001	0.004	0.016	2.706	3.841	5.024	6.635	7.879
2	0.010	0.020	0.051	0.103	0.211	4.605	5.991	7.378	9.210	10.597
3	0.072	0.115	0.216	0.352	0.584	6.251	7.815	9.348	11.345	12.838
4	0.207	0.297	0.484	0.711	1.064	7.779	9.488	11.143	13.277	14.860
5	0.412	0.554	0.831	1.145	1.610	9.236	11.070	12.833	15.086	16.750
6	0.676	0.872	1.237	1.635	2.204	10.645	12.592	14.449	16.812	18.548
7	0.989	1.239	1.690	2.167	2.833	12.017	14.067	16.013	18.475	20.278
8	1.344	1.646	2.180	2.733	3.490	13.362	15.507	17.535	20.090	21.955
9	1.735	2.088	2.700	3.325	4.168	14.684	16.919	19.023	21.666	23.589
10	2.156	2.558	3.247	3.940	4.865	15.987	18.307	20.483	23.209	25.188
11	2.603	3.053	3.816	4.575	5.578	17.275	19.675	21.920	24.725	26.757
12	3.074	3.571	4.404	5.226	6.304	18.549	21.026	23.337	26.217	28.300
13	3.565	4.107	5.009	5.892	7.042	19.812	22.362	24.736	27.688	29.819
14	4.075	4.660	5.629	6.571	7.790	21.064	23.685	26.119	29.141	31.319
15	4.601	5.229	6.262	7.261	8.547	22.307	24.996	27.488	30.578	32.801
16	5.142	5.812	6.908	7.962	9.312	23.542	26.296	28.845	32.000	34.267
17	5.697	6.408	7.564	8.672	10.085	24.769	27.587	30.191	33.409	35.718
18	6.265	7.015	8.231	9.390	10.865	25.989	28.869	31.526	34.805	37.156
19	6.844	7.633	8.907	10.117	11.651	27.204	30.144	32.852	36.191	38.582
20	7.434	8.260	9.591	10.851	12.443	28.412	31.410	34.170	37.566	39.997
21	8.034	8.897	10.283	11.591	13.240	29.615	32.671	35.479	38.932	41.401
22	8.643	9.542	10.982	12.338	14.041	30.813	33.924	36.781	40.289	42.796
23	9.260	10.196	11.689	13.091	14.848	32.007	35.172	38.076	41.638	44.181
24	9.886	10.856	12.401	13.848	15.659	33.196	36.415	39.364	42.980	45.559
25	10.520	11.524	13.120	14.611	16.473	34.382	37.652	40.646	44.314	46.928
26	11.160	12.198	13.844	15.379	17.292	35.563	38.885	41.923	45.642	48.290
27	11.808	12.879	14.573	16.151	18.114	36.741	40.113	43.195	46.963	49.645
28	12.461	13.565	15.308	16.928	18.939	37.916	41.337	44.461	48.278	50.993
29	13.121	14.256	16.047	17.708	19.768	39.087	42.557	45.722	49.588	52.336
30	13.787	14.953	16.791	18.493	20.599	40.256	43.773	46.979	50.892	53.672
40	20.707	22.164	24.433	26.509	29.051	51.805	55.758	59.342	63.691	66.766
50	27.991	29.707	32.357	34.764	37.689	63.167	67.505	71.420	76.154	79.490
60	35.534	37.485	40.482	43.188	46.459	74.397	79.082	83.298	88.379	91.952
70	43.275	45.442	48.758	51.739	55.329	85.527	90.531	95.023	100.425	104.215
80	51.172	53.540	57.153	60.391	64.278	96.578	101.879	106.629	112.329	116.321
90	59.196	61.754	65.647	69.126	73.291	107.565	113.145	118.136	124.116	128.299
100	67.328	70.065	74.222	77.929	82.358	118.498	124.342	129.561	135.807	140.169

t Table

cum. prob	$t_{.50}$	$t_{.75}$	$t_{.80}$	$t_{.85}$	$t_{.90}$	$t_{.95}$	$t_{.975}$	$t_{.99}$	$t_{.995}$	$t_{.999}$	$t_{.9995}$
one-tail	0.50	0.25	0.20	0.15	0.10	0.05	0.025	0.01	0.005	0.001	0.0005
two-tails	1.00	0.50	0.40	0.30	0.20	0.10	0.05	0.02	0.01	0.002	0.001
df											
1	0.000	1.000	1.376	1.963	3.078	6.314	12.71	31.82	63.66	318.31	636.62
2	0.000	0.816	1.061	1.386	1.886	2.920	4.303	6.965	9.925	22.327	31.599
3	0.000	0.765	0.978	1.250	1.638	2.353	3.182	4.541	5.841	10.215	12.924
4	0.000	0.741	0.941	1.190	1.533	2.132	2.776	3.747	4.604	7.173	8.610
5	0.000	0.727	0.920	1.156	1.476	2.015	2.571	3.365	4.032	5.893	6.869
6	0.000	0.718	0.906	1.134	1.440	1.943	2.447	3.143	3.707	5.208	5.959
7	0.000	0.711	0.896	1.119	1.415	1.895	2.365	2.998	3.499	4.785	5.408
8	0.000	0.706	0.889	1.108	1.397	1.860	2.306	2.896	3.355	4.501	5.041
9	0.000	0.703	0.883	1.100	1.383	1.833	2.262	2.821	3.250	4.297	4.781
10	0.000	0.700	0.879	1.093	1.372	1.812	2.228	2.764	3.169	4.144	4.587
11	0.000	0.697	0.876	1.088	1.363	1.796	2.201	2.718	3.106	4.025	4.437
12	0.000	0.695	0.873	1.083	1.356	1.782	2.179	2.681	3.055	3.930	4.318
13	0.000	0.694	0.870	1.079	1.350	1.771	2.160	2.650	3.012	3.852	4.221
14	0.000	0.692	0.868	1.076	1.345	1.761	2.145	2.624	2.977	3.787	4.140
15	0.000	0.691	0.866	1.074	1.341	1.753	2.131	2.602	2.947	3.733	4.073
16	0.000	0.690	0.865	1.071	1.337	1.746	2.120	2.583	2.921	3.686	4.015
17	0.000	0.689	0.863	1.069	1.333	1.740	2.110	2.567	2.898	3.646	3.965
18	0.000	0.688	0.862	1.067	1.330	1.734	2.101	2.552	2.878	3.610	3.922
19	0.000	0.688	0.861	1.066	1.328	1.729	2.093	2.539	2.861	3.579	3.883
20	0.000	0.687	0.860	1.064	1.325	1.725	2.086	2.528	2.845	3.552	3.850
21	0.000	0.686	0.859	1.063	1.323	1.721	2.080	2.518	2.831	3.527	3.819
22	0.000	0.686	0.858	1.061	1.321	1.717	2.074	2.508	2.819	3.505	3.792
23	0.000	0.685	0.858	1.060	1.319	1.714	2.069	2.500	2.807	3.485	3.768
24	0.000	0.685	0.857	1.059	1.318	1.711	2.064	2.492	2.797	3.467	3.745
25	0.000	0.684	0.856	1.058	1.316	1.708	2.060	2.485	2.787	3.450	3.725
26	0.000	0.684	0.856	1.058	1.315	1.706	2.056	2.479	2.779	3.435	3.707
27	0.000	0.684	0.855	1.057	1.314	1.703	2.052	2.473	2.771	3.421	3.690
28	0.000	0.683	0.855	1.056	1.313	1.701	2.048	2.467	2.763	3.408	3.674
29	0.000	0.683	0.854	1.055	1.311	1.699	2.045	2.462	2.756	3.396	3.659
30	0.000	0.683	0.854	1.055	1.310	1.697	2.042	2.457	2.750	3.385	3.646
40	0.000	0.681	0.851	1.050	1.303	1.684	2.021	2.423	2.704	3.307	3.551
60	0.000	0.679	0.848	1.045	1.296	1.671	2.000	2.390	2.660	3.232	3.460
80	0.000	0.678	0.846	1.043	1.292	1.664	1.990	2.374	2.639	3.195	3.416
100	0.000	0.677	0.845	1.042	1.290	1.660	1.984	2.364	2.626	3.174	3.390
1000	0.000	0.675	0.842	1.037	1.282	1.646	1.962	2.330	2.581	3.098	3.300
Z	0.000	0.674	0.842	1.036	1.282	1.645	1.960	2.326	2.576	3.090	3.291
	0%	50%	60%	70%	80%	90%	95%	98%	99%	99.8%	99.9%
	Confidence Level										